

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 278130

66

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07

L-03 ACDA-05 NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 NSCE-00 DODE-00 INRE-00 CU-02 /059 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JHMADDEN/EUR/SOV:RBARRY:PEC

APPROVED BY EUR:JGLOWENSTEIN

C:JDOBBINS

S/S -FVORTIZ

----- 013037

O R 242332Z NOV 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO IMMEDIATE

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY VALLETTA

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

USMISSION USBERLIN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 278130

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 278130

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE

SUBJECT: CSCE IMPLEMENTATION: IS DRAFT REPORT

REF: USNATO 6288

HOLD FOR OPENING OF BUSINESS

1. WE AGREE THAT IS REPORT REFTEL GENERALLY MEETS OUR AIMS AND IS SATISFACTORY, BUT WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.

2. WE SUGGEST DELETING SECTIONS ON "MILITARY DETENTE" AND "ASIAN CSCE" SINCE THESE ARE NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO CSCE IMPLEMENTATION PROBLEM AND WOULD REQUIRE CONSIDERABLE ANALYSIS AND JUDGMENT IF THEY WERE TO BE INCLUDED. IF ALLIES FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT INCLUDING THESE SECTIONS, WE WOULD PREFER TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING CHANGES:

-- (A) UNDER MILITARY DETENTE, DELETE THE REFERENCE TO SALT NEGOTIATIONS. WHILE THERE HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED PAST LINKAGE BETWEEN CSCE AND MBFR, NO SUCH LINKAGE HAS EXISTED WITH REGARD TO SALT. WITH REGARD TO ABSENCE OF SOVIET CONCESSIONS, WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO NOTE IN THIS SECTION THAT WP COUNTRIES ARE

AWAITING NATO PRESENTATION OF OPTION III, A SITUATION IN WHICH THEY ARE UNLIKELY TO ADVANCE NEW PROPOSALS OF THEIR OWN.

-- (B) UNDER ASIAN CSCE, SOVIET CAMPAIGN HAS SO FAR BEEN AIMED TOWARD AN "ASIAN SECURITY SYSTEM", NOT "AGREEMENT", AND REPORT SHOULD USE THIS TERM.

3. WE SUGGEST INCLUSION OF THE FOLLOWING THOUGHTS:

-- (A) IT SEEMS TO US IS DRAFT MIGHT NOTE THAT KEY PROBLEM IN MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRINCIPLES IS THE DIFFERING POLITICAL INTERPRETATION GIVEN THEM BY THE VARIOUS STATES, PARTICULARLY DIFFERENCES IN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 278130

VIEWPOINT BETWEEN EAST AND WEST. THIS PROBLEM IS A RESULT OF FUNDAMENTAL IDEOLOGICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN COMMUNIST AND WESTERN COUNTRIES, AND THEIR CONFLICTING NATIONAL INTERESTS. THESE DIFFERENCES UNDERLY DIFFERING PERCEPTIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF THE PRINCIPLES, AND DO NOT APPEAR RECONCILABLE IN THE NEAR TERM. CASE IN POINT IS PORTUGAL, WHERE SOVIETS HAVE ACCUSED WESTERN COUNTRIES OF INTERFERING IN PORTUGUESE

INTERNAL AFFAIRS IN VIOLATION OF CSCE PRINCIPLES, WHILE WESTERN COUNTRIES HAVE CAUTIONED USSR AGAINST SUCH INTERVENTION.

-- (B) IN SECTION ON CULTURAL AND EDUCATIONAL EXCHANGES, WE AGREE THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF EAST-WEST EXCHANGES HAS BEEN GOING ON BETWEEN US AND USSR FOR SOME TIME AND WOULD HAVE BEEN LIKELY TO CONTINUE IN FUTURE IRRESPECTIVE OF CSCE RESULTS. HOWEVER, IT COULD BE ADDED AS A GENERAL COMMENT, THAT CSCE MAY LEAD TO INCREASED ACTIVITY IN AREAS OF MUTUAL INTEREST IN EXISTING BILATERAL EXCHANGES.

4. OTHER POINTS WHICH USNATO MAY WISH TO SUGGEST ARE:

-- (A) IN MAIN TRENDS SECTION, BELIEVE REFERENCE TO EXPECTED RETIREMENT OF BREZHNEV MISSTATES CASE. WOULD PREFER LANGUAGE WHICH SAYS SOMETHING ON THE ORDER OF "THE LIKELIHOOD THAT BREZHNEV WILL DEPART THE SCENE OR MOVE UPSTAIRS IN THE COMING YEAR, ATTENDANT MANEUVERING AMONG THE LEADERSHIP ETC."

-- (B) IN PENULTIMATE PARAGRAPH OF "BASKET I" SECTION, DISCUSSION OF IDEOLOGICAL DETENTE CAN BE TAKEN TO

ASSUME THAT WEST SHOULD BE WILLING TO ABANDON "BATTLE OF IDEAS" IN CONTEXT OF DETENTE. TEXT COULD BE IMPROVED IN OUR VIEW BY ADDING THIS SENTENCE AFTER PHRASE "BATTLE OF IDEAS." BEGIN TEXT "IN THE SOVIET VIEW, SUCH A BATTLE CAN BE WAGED ON NON-COMMUNIST SOIL ONLY." END TEXT

-- (C) SAME PROBLEM ARISES IN SECOND PARAGRAPH OF CONCLUSION AND CAN BE HANDLED BY SUBSTITUTING FOLLOWING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 278130

FOR PENULTIMATE SENTENCE: "TO THE DEGREE THAT THE SOVIETS ADHERE IN PRACTICE TO THEIR ONE-SIDED CONCEPT OF THE "IDEOLOGICAL STRUGGLE" IT WILL PUT A SEVERE LIMITATION ON POTENTIAL FOR IMPROVEMENT OF RELATIONS AS A RESULT OF HELSINKI." IN FOLLOWING SENTENCE, WOULD PREFER "SLOW PROGRESS" TO "LACK OF PROGRESS."

5. AGREE MINIMUM POSSIBLE TIME SHOULD BE SPENT HAGGLING OVER DRAFT, BUT REQUEST THESE POINTS BE RAISED.

INGERSOLL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TREATY COMPLIANCE, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MEETING PROCEEDINGS, MEETING REPORTS, SUMMIT MEETINGS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 NOV 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE278130
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JHMADDEN/EUR/SOV:RBARRY:PEC
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750409-0669
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751118/aaaaaphx.tel
Line Count: 165
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <10 JUL 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE IMPLEMENTATION: IS DRAFT REPORT
TAGS: PFOR, UR, NATO, WTO, CSCE
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006